1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Attorney General of the State of California DAVID S. CHANEY Chief Assistant Attorney General FRANCES T. GRUNDER Senior Assistant Attorney General ROCHELLE C. EAST Supervising Deputy Attorney General MICHAEL JORGENSON, State Bar No. 201145 Supervising Deputy Attorney General 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004 Telephone: (415) 703-5629 Fax: (415) 703-5843 Email: Michael.Jorgenson@doj.ca.gov	TEVEN FAMA, State Bar No. 99641 Prison Law Office General Delivery San Quentin, CA 94964-0001 Telephone: (415) 457-9144 Fax: (415) 457-9151 Email: sfama@prisonlaw.comattorneys for Plaintiffs
9	Attorneys for Defendants Tilton, Horel, and McLean	,
10		
11		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
12		
13	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
14		
15 16		
17	ALEJANDRO MADRID, et al.,	C 90-3094 TEH
18	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND
- 1		
19	v.	[PROPOSED] ORDER RE STATEWIDE USE OF FORCE
19 20	v. JAMES TILTON, et al.,	[PROPOSED] ORDER RE
20	:	[PROPOSED] ORDER RE STATEWIDE USE OF FORCE
20	JAMES TILTON, et al.,	[PROPOSED] ORDER RE STATEWIDE USE OF FORCE
20 21	JAMES TILTON, et al.,	[PROPOSED] ORDER RE STATEWIDE USE OF FORCE POLICY
20 21 22	JAMES TILTON, et al., Defendants.	[PROPOSED] ORDER RE STATEWIDE USE OF FORCE POLICY ched document (Department
20212223	JAMES TILTON, et al., Defendants. The parties stipulate to the implementation of the attack.	[PROPOSED] ORDER RE STATEWIDE USE OF FORCE POLICY ched document (Department he statewide use-of-force policy for
2021222324	JAMES TILTON, et al., Defendants. The parties stipulate to the implementation of the attacon operations Manual Chapter 5, Article 1, Use of Force) as the state of the implementation of the attached to the attached	[PROPOSED] ORDER RE STATEWIDE USE OF FORCE POLICY ched document (Department the statewide use-of-force policy for on's (CDCR) Division of Adult
202122232425	JAMES TILTON, et al., Defendants. The parties stipulate to the implementation of the attacon operations Manual Chapter 5, Article 1, Use of Force) as the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation	ched document (Department the statewide use-of-force policy for on's (CDCR) Division of Adult the Court order that the attached

Madrid v. Tilton C 90-3094 TEH

Stip. and [Proposed] Order re CDCR Use of Force Policy

the use-of-force, as set forth in Cal. Code Regs., tit. 15, § 3268½ and replace the current use-of-force policy at Pelican Bay State Prison (Pelican Bay).

In support of this stipulation, the parties briefly report to the Court the processes that resulted in the creation of the attached statewide use-of-force policy.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

On July 12, 2000, this Court ceased monitoring Defendants' use-of-force policies following a report and recommendation by the Special Master. In that Order the Court determined that the proposed use-of-force policy revisions did not conflict with the Court's orders, adequately addressed the operational problems associated with earlier remedial plans, and adequately addressed any constitutional issues related to use-of-force regarding Pelican Bay State Prison's use-of-force policy.

Counsel for CDCR forwarded to Special Master John Hagar a proposed statewide use-of-force policy on December 17, 2007. On January 10, 2008, the Special Master asked the parties to meet with Court Experts Michael Gennaco and Dr. Pat Maher to ensure that any statewide use-of-force policy would be consistent with the current use-of-force policy at Pelican Bay, which was part of the Court-Ordered remedial plan. The mission was to achieve uniformity throughout the state prison system while maintaining the features of the current Pelican Bay policy that adequately address constitutional issues related to use-of-force.

The parties and other interested stakeholders began meeting in earnest to achieve these goals on January 25, 2008. That meeting was chaired by Court Experts Michael Gennaco, Stephen Connolly, and Dr. Pat Maher, and attendees included counsel for the parties, representatives of the CDCR, the CDCR's Office of Internal Affairs, the Governor's Office and the Office of Inspector General's Bureau of Independent Review. At the first meeting, the parties identified differences between the draft statewide policy and the current Pelican Bay use-of-force policies. The parties assessed these differences in terms of their significance, their practical implications,

^{1.} The parties recognize that Section 52100.17.6, Health Care Staff Use of Force-Reporting Requirements, contains a provision in the last paragraph that will require approval of the Receiver and Court in the *Plata* litigation and await any further instruction regarding the appropriate mechanism for achieving that approval process.

Stip. and [Proposed] Order re CDCR Use of Force Policy

Madrid v. Tilton

and possible approaches for their reconciliation.

The parties then worked extensively to achieve a mutually acceptable statewide use-of-force plan. Along with considerable work by individual subcommittees and regular correspondence between the parties, subsequent meetings were held on February 4 and 20, 2008. At the February 20, 2008 meeting, representatives from Pelican Bay attended and offered their insight and comments regarding the development of the statewide policy through their specific practical experiences at Pelican Bay. During both of those meetings, the parties and interested stakeholders made progress toward consensus.

On March 3, 2008, a conference call was held among the parties and stakeholders, and all remaining issues regarding the statewide use-of-force policy were resolved. The Court experts then reviewed the final policy and concurred that the final statewide use-of-force policy met constitutional standards. The Court experts further concluded that the statewide policy achieves the goal of uniformity, while preserving Pelican Bay concepts and applying them statewide.

CONCLUSION

The working group developed a use-of-force policy for all adult institutions statewide as provided in the attached document. The parties stipulate that the attached statewide use-of-force policy adequately addresses constitutional issues related to use-of-force and that it shall upon formal adoption and implementation by Defendants replace the *Madrid* use-of-force policy at

1		
1	Pelican Bay. Defendants shall file and serve a written notice of adoption and implementation of	
2	the attached statewide use-of-force policy within ten (10) calendar days of the date of that action.	
3 4 5	Dated: May 12, 2008 Michael Jorgenson Attorney for Defendants Tilton, Horel, and McLean	
6	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
7 8 9	Dated: May 8, 2008 Steve Fama Attorney for Plaintiffs	
10	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
11	OF (12/09)	
12	Dated: 05/13/08	
13	Thelton E. Henderson	
14	El allamont	
15	Z Henderson Z	
16	Judge Thelton E. Henderson	
17		
18	DISTRICT OF COM	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25 26		
27		

28